1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney		
2	JUSTIN L. LEE Assistant United States Attorney		
3 4	Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
6	United States of America		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:22-cr-00137-JAM-2	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING	
13	v.	EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; ORDER	
14	MYRA BOLECHE MINKS,	DATE: November 5, 2024	
15	Defendant.	TIME: 9:00 a.m. COURT: Hon. John A. Mendez	
16			
17	STIPULATION		
18	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on November 5, 2024. On		
19	the Court's own motion this date was moved to November 12, 2024.		
20	2. By this stipulation, the defendant now moves to continue the further status		
21	conference until November 19, 2024, at 09:00 a.m., and to exclude time between		
22	October 17, 2024, and November 19, 2024, under Local Codes T2 and T4.		
23	3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the		
24	following:		
25	a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with		
26	this case includes approximately 3,800 pages of reports, video and audio recordings		
27	and photographs. In addition, digital device extractions have been produced in		
$_{28}$	discovery and amount to approximately 10 TB of data. All of this discovery has		

9

8

1011

12

1314

15

16

17

1819

20

21

///

///

///

 $22 \| / / /$

23 | ///

24

25 | ///

26 | ///

27 | ///

28 | ///

been produced directly to counsel.

- b) Counsel for the defendant desires additional time to review the discovery, discuss the case with the defendant, conduct investigation and research related to the criminal charges, and otherwise prepare for trial.
- c) Counsel for the defendant believes that failure to grant the aboverequested continuance would deny him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of October 17, 2024, to November 19, 2024, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(ii), (iv) [Local Code T2 and T4] because this is a complex case and it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

Case 2:22-cr-00137-JAM Document 159 Filed 10/24/24 Page 3 of 3

1	4. Nothing in this	stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other	
2	provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from		
3	the period within which a trial must commence.		
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
5			
6	D . 1 0 . 1		
7	Dated: October 21, 2024	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney	
8			
9		/s/ JUSTIN L. LEE JUSTIN L. LEE	
10		Assistant United States Attorney	
11	D + 1 0 + 1 01 0004	/ / MODD I DDAG	
12	Dated: October 21, 2024	/s/ TODD LERAS TODD LERAS	
13		Counsel for Defendant Myra Minks	
14			
15			
16		ODDED	
17		ORDER	
18	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
19	Dated: October 23, 2024	/s/ John A. Mendez	
20		THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ	
21		SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			